## **Comments on Transition from Classical to Quantum Mechanics in Generalized Coordinates via the Covariant Derivative**

## GARY R. GRUBER

*Hofstra University and The New York Academy of Science\** 

## *Received:* 27 *February* 1973

Many people have asked me in connection with my previous articles why one could not make a transition from classical to quantum theory in generalized coordinates via the covariant derivative. I will show why one cannot, in this note, and also show an interesting connection between the covariant derivative operator and the 'measurable' generalized momentum operator.

Consider the *classical* Hamiltonian of a free particle in generalized coordinates,  $H$ .  $H$  is given by (Brillouin, 1949)

$$
H = \sum_{m,n} g^{mn} p_m p_n \tag{1}
$$

where  $p_m$  is the canonical momentum and  $g^{mn}$  is a function of the generalized coordinates  ${q_i}$ . In *Cartesian* coordinates, in order to produce the *quantum* Hamiltonian operator, one merely substitutes for  $p_m$ ,  $p_m = -i\hbar \partial/\partial x_m$ , into equation (1). it would seem that in *generalized* coordinates, in order to produce the quantum Hamiltonian operator, one would substitute in equation (1),  $p_m = -i\hbar D/Dq_m$ , where  $D/Dq_m$  denotes the covariant derivativet given by (Brillouin, 1949)

$$
\frac{D}{Dq_m} = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_m} - \sum_n \Gamma_{in}^h \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial q_m} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} g^{ij} \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial q_m} \right)
$$

where  $\Gamma_{th}^h$  is the familiar Christoffel symbol used in Riemannian geometry. It is both interesting and instructive to note that no matter what ordering

<sup>\*</sup> Mailing Address--The New York Academy of Sciences, 2 East 63rd St. ,N.Y. 10021 N.Y.

 $\dagger$  It is seen from Gruber (1971) that one cannot simply substitute for  $p_m$ , the operator  $p_m=-i\hbar \partial/\partial q_m$ .

Copyright © 1973 Plenum Publishing Company Limited. No part of this publication may be reproduced,<br>stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photo-<br>copying, microfil

we choose for the operators  $D/Dq_m$ ,  $D/Dq_n$ , and  $g^{mn}$  in equation (1), that is

$$
H_Q = \sum_{m,n} g^{mn} \frac{D}{Dq_m} \frac{D}{Dq_n} \quad \text{or} \quad H_Q = \sum_{m,n} \frac{D}{Dq_m} g^{mn} \frac{D}{Dq_n}, \text{etc.}
$$

*even if* we take Hermitian parts of  $H_0$ , we will not arrive at the correct quantum Hamiltonian, which is a transformation from  $-\hbar^2 \nabla^2$  to generalized coordinates. For example if

For example if  
\n
$$
H_Q = \text{Hermitian part of } \left\{-\hbar^2 \sum_{m,n} g^{mn} \frac{D}{Dq_m} \frac{D}{Dq_n} \right\}
$$

we find

$$
H_Q = H' - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} \frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_m} \left[ \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_n} g^{nm} \right] \qquad (g^{-1} = \sqrt{\det g^{ik}})
$$

The correct quantum Hamiltonian  $H'$  is given by (Blokhinstev, 1964)

$$
H'=\sum_{m,n}g^{mn}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_m\partial q_n}+\frac{\partial g^{mn}}{\partial q_n}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_m}+\frac{1}{g}\frac{\partial g}{\partial q_n}g^{mn}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_m}
$$

Thus there is an extra term in  $H_0$ , namely, the term

$$
-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{m,n}\frac{1}{g}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_m}\bigg[\frac{\partial g}{\partial q_n}g^{nm}\bigg]
$$

The interesting and rather mystifying thing is that the 'measurable' momentum operator which is the Hermitian part of  $p_m = -i\hbar \partial/\partial q_m$  (see Gruber, 1972a), is the Hermitian part of the covariant derivative operator.

*Proof:* In our previous notation (Gruber, 1972a, b), the Hermitian part of  $-i\hbar D/Dq_i$ , that is,  $[-i\hbar D/Dq_i]^H$  is given ast

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left[-i\hbar \frac{D}{Dq_i}\right]^H &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left(-i\hbar \frac{D}{Dq_i}\right)^\dagger - i\hbar \frac{D}{Dq_i} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left(p_i + i\hbar \frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i}\right)^\dagger + \left(p_i + i\hbar \frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i}\right) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[ p_i \dagger - i\hbar \frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i} + p_i + i\hbar \frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( p_i \dagger + p_i \right) = (p_i)^H\n\end{aligned}
$$
\nQ.E.D.

## *References*

Blokhinstev, D. I. (1964). *Quantum Mechanics.* D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, Holland.

Brillouin, L. (1949). *Les tenseurs en mechanique et en elasticite.* Masson et Cie, Paris. Gruber, G. R. (1971). *Foundations of Physics,* 1, 3,227.

Gruber, G. R. (1972a). *American Journal of Physics,* 40, 10, 1537.

Gruber, G. R. (1972b). *International Journal of Theoretical Physics,* Vol. 6, No. 1, p. 31.

 $\ddagger$  Here,  $A\ddagger$  denotes adjoint of A.